Decision: Guidelines for teaching and examinations in third-cycle education

Decision

Linköping University (LiU) decides the following:

1. The appendix "Guidelines for teaching and examinations in third-cycle education" shall apply from 2 May 2022.

The modified guidelines for individual study plans (section 2.3) shall be applied to plans which are decided upon or revised after this time.

The guidelines on plagiarism checks (sections 3.5 and 3.6) of licentiate and doctoral theses, both before presentation and before defence, shall be applied from 1 September 2022.

- 2. The faculties and research area Education Sciences are tasked with reviewing and revising the guidelines and procedures of the faculty or area with respect to education and examination at third-cycle level, in order to ensure that these agree with the current guidelines, and solely supplement them with further requirements or procedures. This shall be completed by 1 January 2023.
- 3. This decision is to be incorporated into the LiU code of rules and procedures, and is to replace the *Guidelines for teaching and examinations in third-cycle education* decided on 23 November 2020 (ref. no LiU-2020-03965).

Reason for decision

The guidelines for teaching and examinations in third-cycle education have been updated on the following points:

- Section 1.2 has been supplemented in order to make clear that the Discrimination Act must also be taken into account during teaching and teaching planning.
- Section 1.5 (Cheating or plagiarism during third-cycle exams) has been added. This is in order to make clear that cheating or plagiarism during third-cycle exams can be reported to the disciplinary committee at LiU.
- The departmental rules for the contents of individual study plans in Section

2.3 have been supplemented to emphasise that career talks shall cover careers both within and outside of academia.

- Section 2.3 has been supplemented under the heading *Review and revision* in order to make clear that review and revision of the individual study plan can be performed more frequently if necessary.
- Section 2.7 has been clarified with regard to PhD students' right to an extension of their PhD. Reference is now made to the relevant decision on *Remuneration for student and doctoral representatives* (ref. no LiU-2021-04918).
- Sections 3.5 and 3.6 have been supplemented to say that plagiarism checks shall be performed upon the completion of both licentiate theses and PhD theses, in order to avoid any plagiarism being discovered after presentation or defence.
- Also in sections 3.5 and 3.6, it has been made clear that, during presentation of licentiate theses and defence, the main participants should, ordinarily, participate in person. In special circumstances, however, participants may also join via video or equivalent. The reason for this change is that the guidelines concerning licentiate thesis presentation and defence must be able to be applied even in the event of a new pandemic.
- Section 3.6 has been clarified with regard to the public announcement ("nailing") and dissemination of the thesis. It now says that the thesis shall be "nailed" first on the university's notice board and registered in the publications database.

In order for it to be clear for both co-workers and PhD students which guidelines should be considered with respect to teaching and examination at third-cycle level, it is highly important that the guidelines and routines that are specific for the faculties and the research area agree with and supplement in an unambiguous manner these university guidelines for teaching and examination at third-cycle level. The faculties and the Educational Sciences research area are thus charged with reviewing and revising their guidelines and procedures.

Processing of the decision

This decision has been taken by Jan-Ingvar Jönsson at the vice-chancellor's decision meeting, in the presence of University Director Kent Waltersson, Chief Legal Adviser Christina Helmér, student representative Beatrice Ronsten and secretary of the vicechancellor Maria Fält. It was presented to the meeting by Educational Director Ragnhild Löfgren. Legal Specialist Jenny Wäsström has participated in the preparatory work for the case.

Opinions have been obtained from the pro-deans research; Nicolette Lakemond at



the Faculty of Science and Engineering, Maria Simonsson at the Educational Sciences research area, Mathias Broth at the Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Anna Strömberg at the Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, PhD student representative Lovisa Karlsson, and deputy vice-chancellor Per-Olof Brehmer. Furthermore, the vicechancellor's management group and al deans have been given the opportunity to present opinions.

The Central Cooperation Group has been informed of the decision.

The editorial board for the LiU code of rules and procedures has examined the form of the decision.

Jan-Ingvar Jönsson

Ragnhild Löfgren

List for notification: University Management University Director's steering group Faculty and research area committees (functional addresses) Deans (functional addresses) Heads of department Faculty Offices (functional addresses) Departments (department registrars and heads of administration) Library Director Internal Audit Local employee associations affected by the decision Student unions LiU-Nytt LiU code of rules and procedures Archives and Records Management Office (original)





Guidelines for teaching and examinations in thirdcycle education

Linköping University (LiU) conducts education at basic level (first cycle), advanced level (second cycle), and research level (third cycle). This document presents Swedish regulations in the form of acts and ordinances that apply in Sweden to education and examinations at research level, followed by the local regulations that apply at LiU.

The regulations on which this document is based are mainly found in the Higher Education Act (1992:1434, HL) and the Higher Education Ordinance (1993:100, HF). Other legislation and ordinances referred to in this document include: the Discrimination Act (2008:567) and the Administrative Procedure Act (2017:900).

The local regulations are based on the Swedish acts and ordinances, and describe how these are to be applied. These guidelines do not contain a complete presentation of all legal provisions that apply to education and examinations at research level: they are limited to the questions that LiU has assessed require supplementary universitywide guidelines. The faculties and the Educational Sciences area at LiU have overall responsibility for the quality, efficiency, design, provision of study syllabuses and supervision of research education. The faculties and the Educational Sciences area can, within the framework of the legal provisions relating to institutions of higher education and these guidelines, issue supplementary guidelines and procedures.

References to relevant ordinances and other important documents relating to research education are located at the end of this document.

Contents

Guidelines for teaching and examinations in third-cycle education1 Contents
1 Background31.1 The concepts of "PhD student" and "student"
 2 General provisions
9 2.6 Nature of incidental employment during research education
3 Supervisor, examiner, faculty examiner and examining committee143.1 Duties of examiners for examinations of courses taken as part of research14education or other components or exams awarded credits that are part of143.2 Grading systems and grading scales153.3 Supervision and other resources153.4 Withdrawal of supervision and other resources173.5 Examination of licentiate thesis183.6 Thesis defence and grading of doctoral theses203.7 Protocols for licentiate seminar and for thesis defence223.8 Reassessment/change of decision22
4 More information24



1 Background

1.1 The concepts of "PhD student" and "student"

In this document, a student who is taking third-cycle education is denoted as a *PhD student*, while the term *student* is used in several of the general descriptions of education given in HL and HF. These general descriptions may also be applicable to PhD students unless it is specified that they are solely valid for education at first-cycle and second-cycle levels. The term *PhD student* is used also for third-cycle students who have been admitted to take a licentiate degree. The term *PhD student* includes, therefore, all those who have been admitted to third-cycle studies.

1.2 Third-cycle degrees

Third-cycle education can lead to two degrees with different extents: the licentiate degree and the doctoral degree. LiU is not certified to award degrees on the basis of artistic expertise.

The term "examination" is used to denote all final conclusions concerning study performance. Examination is both the exercise of official authority and a component of the PhD student's learning process. It is important that the regulations are unambiguous in order to ensure the legal certainty of an examination. All examination is to be carried out with high quality and sound legal certainty. The concept of "legal certainty" should be understood as "predictability in judicial matters". The conditions required for it to be considered that legal certainty applies are that unambiguous regulations have been laid down, that they have been published, and that they are applied fairly and correctly.

The Discrimination Act stipulates that discrimination on the basis of sex, gender fluid identity and expression, ethnicity, religion or other belief, disability, sexual orientation or age is forbidden during teaching and examination (Chapter 1, Section 4 & Chapter 5, Section 6). This must be satisfied in the design of education as well as in the design of regulations for assessment and study plans.

1.3 Quality assurance

Chapter 2, Section 4 of HL states that operations are to be arranged such that high standards are achieved in education and research. Further, is states that the available resources are to be used in an efficient manner to sustain a high standard of operations, and that quality assurance is a shared concern of the personnel and students at institutions of higher education.



1.4 Good research practice

Chapter 1, Section 3a of HL states that the academic credibility and good research practice are to be upheld by the operations at institutions of higher education. The Act on Responsibility for Good Research Practice and the Examination of Research Misconduct (2019:504) contains legal provisions relating to the responsibility of the researcher and the entity responsible for research that the research is carried out in accordance with good research practice and that an independent board is to examine questions of misconduct in research. LiU itself is to examine other suspected deviations from such practice than those that are to be examined by the independent board (cf. Chapter 1, Section 17, HF). LiU has special guidelines for the management of deviations from good research practice.

1.5 Cheating or plagiarism during third-cycle exams

If cheating or plagiarism is suspected in third-cycle exams, it may be reported to the disciplinary board at LiU, as PhD students, in their capacity as students, are included in regulations about disciplinary measures as outlined in Chapter 10 of HL.



2 General provisions

2.1 Establishment and discontinuation of research education subjects

Swedish regulations

A university or higher education institution entitled to award third-cycle degrees shall determine the subjects in which third-cycle courses and programmes may be offered. (Chapter 6, Section 25).

Local regulations

Establishment of subjects and fields of study for third-cycle education

The following conditions must be satisfied when a decision is taken to establish a subject or field of study for third-cycle education:

- There must be a research environment in which active research and seminars of good quality are carried out, relevant to the education.
- Supervisory and other resources required for the education must be available. The supervisors must have relevant knowledge of the subject and teaching expertise to supervise PhD students.
- The title of the subject and, where relevant, the field of study, in Swedish and English, are to provide unambiguous information of its location relative to both Swedish standards (the research subjects defined by SCB) and to international practice.

Discontinuation of a subject of third-cycle education

The term "discontinuation of a subject of third-cycle education" denotes that the subject is discontinued or that its content is significantly changed. The following guidelines describe how discontinuation of a subject of third-cycle education and, where relevant, a field of study is to take place:

- An impact analysis must be carried out that examines not only the consequences relating to expertise, economy and personnel that discontinuation of the subject will bring for the departments and other operational units involved, but also how the quality of the education can be assured for the PhD students who have been admitted to the subject.
- Reasons for the discontinuation of the subject must always be given with the decision.
- Discontinuation means that no further PhD students will be admitted for the subject.



2.2 General study syllabuses

Swedish regulations

For each subject in which third-cycle courses or study programmes are offered, a general study syllabus is required (Chapter 6, Section 26 HF)

The following must be specified in a general study syllabus:

- the main content of the study programme
- specific entry requirements, and
- any other regulations required (Chapter 6, Section 27 HF)

Local regulations

In addition to the contents of a general study syllabus required by the Swedish regulations, a general study syllabus at LiU must also contain:

- the name of the subject in Swedish and English, and, where relevant, specialisation within the subject (SCB code, specified by 5 digits)
- the overall structure of the study programme
- name or names of the degree or degrees that will be awarded on completion of the study programme
- reference to the aims of the degrees according to the qualifications ordinance (Annex 2 of HF) with, where relevant, locally specified requirements for the subject
- the extent of the doctoral thesis (in the case of licentiate degrees, the licentiate thesis), specified in terms of higher education credits
- the extent of other components that are part of the study programme (courses and other components, both compulsory and elective, for which higher education credits are awarded), which, together with the thesis (in the case of licentiate degrees, the licentiate thesis), are to correspond to the total extent of the study programme
- details of the grading scale applied (see Section 3.2)
- information about whether it is required, or possible, to take a licentiate degree or corresponding, for PhD students admitted to a doctoral degree
- details about when the general course syllabus or a modification of it is to come into force and start to apply, and
- any transitional provisions that are necessary

A PhD student is admitted at a particular point in time to the most recently determined general study syllabus for the admission.



2.3 Individual study plan

Swedish regulations

An individual study plan must be drawn up for each PhD student. The plan shall be adopted after consultation with the PhD student and his or her supervisors. The plan is to contain:

- the undertakings of the university and the PhD student, and
- a timetable for the study programme of the PhD student.

The individual study plan is to be reviewed at regular intervals, and may be amended by the university as required after consultation with the PhD student and his or her supervisors. The period of study may be extended only if there are special grounds for doing so. Such grounds may comprise leave of absence as a result of illness, leave of absence for service in the defence forces or for an elected position within a trade union or student organisation, and parental leave (Chapter 6, Section 29 HF)

Local regulations

Contents

In addition to the contents of an individual study plan required by the Swedish regulations, an individual study plan at LiU must also contain:

- the name and personal identity number of the PhD student
- the subject and information about the general study syllabus
- the date of decision to adopt the study plan and dates of any revisions made
- information of how it is planned that the PhD student will obtain financial support (more details are given in Section 2.5)
- the names of the supervisors and the assignment of responsibility between them
- plans for the supervision and its structure, appropriately with specification of the number of supervision hours per term (more details are given in Section 3.3)
- information about the execution of career guidance, regarding careers both inside and outside academia,
- access to workplace and other resources
- plans for the dissemination of results during the research education (such as publishing strategy, seminars, conferences)
- an overall description of how the degree outcomes (Annex 2 of HF) will be reached during the study programme
- information of compulsory and elective courses and other components or activities for which higher education credits are awarded and that are planned to be included in the study programme



- information about other work, such as teaching, and its extent and relationship to the study programme
- whether education in higher education teaching methods is to be included in the study programme
- the effect of the transfer of credit from previous studies prior to the commencement of third-cycle studies upon the total study time,
- other requirements such that it is possible to conduct the study programme effectively (such as plans for seminars to follow the thesis progression, half-time seminar, final seminar, etc.).

Progression relative to the achievement of goals and the schedule is important such that both the PhD student and the supervisors can form an opinion of whether more support is required to enable the PhD student to satisfy the undertakings, or if resources are to be withdrawn, and in order to assess how the studies are progressing.

Adoption of individual study plans

The individual study plan is to be drawn up as soon as possible after the decision of admission, and must be approved within two months of the PhD student starting the studies. Adoption of an individual study plan requires consultation with the PhD student and the supervisors, but the university has the final responsibility that an individual study plan is drawn up and approved, even if the PhD student does not participate. In cases in which a PhD student does not consent to the individual study plan, this should be made clear by the study plan, by, for example, a note that consultation has taken place without the PhD student consenting to the contents.

Review and revision

An individual study plan is in general to be reviewed and revised at least once a year, or more frequently if necessary – for example, when the timetable for the PhD student changes. Review of an individual study plan requires consultation with the PhD student and the supervisors, but the university has the final responsibility that an individual study plan is reviewed and revised. This is the case also for PhD students who are not active and do not participate in the review or approve the proposed revised study plan. In cases in which a PhD student does not consent to a revised study plan, this should be made clear by the study plan, by, for example, a note that consultation has taken place without the PhD student consenting to the contents. If reviews have taken place for several years without the PhD student participating, further reviews may take place at less frequent intervals, and consideration may be made whether supervision and other resources are to be withdrawn (see Section 3.4).

The review process is a tool for both the university and the PhD student to determine whether the studies are progressing as planned, or whether a change is necessary with respect to the undertakings of the PhD student or the university. During the review, the relationship between the performed and planned activities of the PhD student



during the research education and the learning outcomes of the Higher Education Ordinance (for example whether an objective or parts of an objective have been achieved) is to be documented. The result of the review is to be a decision to approve an amended individual study plan. The head of department or equivalent person at the department that admitted the PhD student has responsibility that reviews are carried out and that procedures are in place for this.

2.4 Courses taken during research education and other

components awarded higher education credits

Local regulations

Both courses taken during research education and other components awarded higher education credits are to contribute to achieving the learning objectives. Courses taken during research education and other components awarded higher education credits are to be subject to examination and a grade awarded. A course syllabus should be defined for compulsory courses that are taken during research education. The course syllabus should be approved and amended at least eight weeks before the course starts. A course syllabus for a course taken during research education at LiU should contain:

- the cycle in which the course is given
- the number of credits
- learning outcomes
- a description of the principal contents of the course
- forms of assessment of the performance of the PhD student
- grading scale (see Section 3.2),
- regulations concerning the existence and forms of compulsory components
- regulations about whether it is possible to replace a compulsory component with another assignment
- where relevant, regulations that a course is to be fully or partially examined in another language than Swedish
- regulations concerning when the course syllabus or a modification of it is to come into force and start to apply, and
- any transitional provisions that are necessary

2.5 Admission to the study programme, study financing and extension of duration

Swedish regulations – admission and duration

The Higher Education Ordinance states that the number of PhD students admitted to research education may not exceed the number that can be offered supervision



and otherwise acceptable conditions for study and whose studies are funded as specified in Section 36 (Chapter 7, Section 34 HF)

The university may admit to research education applicants who are employed as PhD students. The university may also admit an applicant who has some other form of funding, if the university assesses that

- 1.) funding can be guaranteed during the entire period of study, and
- 2.) the applicant can devote enough time to their studies to enable their completion within four years in the case of a licentiate degree or a licentiate degree in the fine, applied and performing arts, or eight years in the case of a doctoral degree or a doctorate in the fine, applied and performing arts (Chapter 7, Section 36, first paragraph, HF).

Employment as a PhD student shall be a full-time post. If a PhD student so requests, the employment may be a part-time post but for no less than 50 per cent of a full-time post (Chapter 5, Section 3a, HF).

Employment as a PhD student shall be for an indefinite period, however for no longer than until a specified date and never for a period extending more than one year after the award of a doctoral degree or a doctoral degree in the fine, applied and performing arts. - The initial appointment is for a maximum duration of one year. The appointment may be renewed by a maximum of two years at a time. - An individual may be employed as a PhD student for a maximum of eight years. The total time in employment may, however, not be longer than a full-time PhD – that is to say, four years. For courses or study programmes to be concluded with the award of a licentiate degree or a licentiate degree in the fine, applied and performing arts the total employment period may not exceed the time corresponding to full-time research education for two years. The time spent studying by the PhD student while not employed as a PhD student shall be deducted from these periods. - The total period of employment may, however, exceed that stated in the third paragraph if special grounds exist. Such grounds may comprise leave of absence as a result of illness, leave of absence for service in the defence forces or for an elected position within a trade union or student organisation, and parental leave (Chapter 5, Section 7 HF)

The total duration of education for a PhD student (and the duration of employment for a PhD student who is employed as a PhD student) may be extended if special grounds exist. Such grounds may comprise leave of absence as a result of illness, leave of absence for service in the defence forces or for an elected position within a trade union or student organisation, and parental leave (This is made clear by Chapter 5, Section 7 and Chapter 6, Section 29 HF).



Swedish regulations – study finance, doctoral scholarships

A PhD student in receipt of a doctoral scholarship shall on application be employed as a PhD student no later than the date on which, according to the individual study plan, there remains a period of study corresponding to three years of full-time study before the award of a doctoral degree or a doctoral degree in the fine, applied and performing arts. This does not apply, however, if the vice-chancellor has decided on the withdrawal of the student's resources as specified in Chapter 6, Section 30 of this ordinance (Chapter 5, Section 4 HF)

A PhD student in receipt of a doctoral scholarship does not need to be employed as specified in Section 4 if the scholarship is awarded within the framework of

- 1.) an aid and capacity building programme in which scholarships are an accepted form of funding with reasonable terms and conditions and the admitting higher education institution has insight into these terms and conditions and into how the scholarship is paid, or
- 2.) a programme funded by the European Union or other partnerships, in which scholarships with reasonable terms and conditions comprise an accepted form of funding and where requirements for funding through employment are a barrier to participation for the admitted higher education institution (Chapter 5, Section 4a, HF).

Local regulations

The following are available as funding possibilities at LiU:

- Employment as a PhD student at LiU
- Other employment than as a PhD student at LiU
- An externally funded PhD student who is taking the education within the framework of employment at another employer than the university
- Scholarships

When the study funding does not consist of employment as a PhD student, the financing plan is to state which social security applied in the event of, for example, sickness or parental leave that is associated with the relevant study funding. Special insurance, for example, is available for PhD students with scholarships (See Chapter 1, Section 11c, HF).

When the funding is in the form of a scholarship, an awards decision, contract or corresponding documentation must be included in the information presented in support of a decision of admission. When the funding is in the form of employment by another employer than LiU (such as contractually agreed collaborations with other institutions of higher education that lack degree-awarding power for research education, or an employer that gives the employees the opportunity to carry out research education within the framework of the employment), a contract or



agreement must be drawn up and included in the information presented in support of a decision of admission.

A department is to report every six months about the financial support and activity in the Ladok student registry (which will pass the information on to SCB) for all PhD students.

2.6 Nature of incidental employment during research education

Swedish regulations

Persons employed as a PhD students shall primarily devote themselves to their studies. – A person employed as a PhD student, however, may work to a limited extent with education, research, artistic research or administration. Such employment, however, before a doctoral degree or a doctoral degree in the fine, applied and performing arts has been awarded, may not comprise more than 20% of a full-time post (Chapter 5, Section 2 HF)

Local regulations

The extent and the principal contents of such work that is carried out as incidental employment during research education is to be specified in the individual study plan, and may comprise a maximum of 20% of full-time employment, distributed throughout the period of study. Such work leads to a corresponding extension of the duration of the education and employment.

PhD students who teach on first-cycle or second-cycle courses must have taken, or take during the same term as the teaching started, initial education in higher education teaching methods or acquired corresponding knowledge in another manner.

2.7 Duration of study and employment when working for student influence

Swedish regulations

Students shall be entitled to exert influence over the courses and study programmes at higher education institutions. Higher education institutions shall endeavour to enable students to play an active role in the continued development of courses and study programmes (Chapter 1, Section 4a, HL).

Local regulations

For PhD students who are elected as representatives in various preparative and decision-making bodies within LiU, it may become relevant to extend the duration of



study and employment. The PhD student applies for an extension to their head of department.

The department has the right to receive compensation for costs for an extension of the duration of study or employment. A request for such compensation is to be addressed to the director of human resources, who may draw the costs for this purpose from the account for work by employee associations.

A PhD student who is permitted to obtain credit for duties as a representative within the framework of the PhD student post, however, shall not at the same time have the right to extension of the duration of study or employment.



3 Supervisor, examiner, faculty examiner and examining committee

3.1 Duties of examiners for examinations of courses taken as part of research education or other components or exams awarded credits that are part of research education

Swedish regulations

Grades [for the exams that are included in the research education] are to be determined by a teacher (examiner) nominated by the university (Chapter 6, Section 32, second paragraph, HF).

An examiner is to act objectively and impartiality when dealing with grading and must consider whether grounds for conflict of interest are present (cf. Sections 16-18 Administrative Procedure Act).

Local regulations

Qualified to be nominated as examiner

An examiner for studies at the level of research education is to be employed as a teacher at LiU as specified by the Rules of Appointment. Examiners for exams that are part of studies at the level of research education must possess at least a doctoral degree or corresponding scholarly qualification.

For components of the studies for which a special examiner has not been nominated, the principal supervisor will be the examiner. In cases where it is not possible or appropriate for the principal supervisor to be examiner, the director of doctoral studies (FUS) may be given this task.

An examiner who has principal employment at another institution of higher education, who is there employed as teacher and who has a doctoral degree or corresponding scholarly qualification, may be nominated examiner only if special circumstances prevail. Special circumstances may be that the expertise required is not available within LiU.

Duties of an examiner

An examiner must have high expertise within the field of study and must be well familiar with the course contents and the performance of the PhD student.

An examiner may decide on alternative forms of examination for a PhD student who has a disability. The PhD student is to be given an examination alternative that is equivalent to that given to a PhD student without any disability.



In all forms of examination, the examiner must be convinced of the performance of the individual PhD student. Before arriving at a conclusion, an examiner may obtain information from other persons involved.

3.2 Grading systems and grading scales

Swedish regulations

Examinations that form part of research education shall be assessed in accordance with the grading system prescribed by the institution of higher education (Chapter 6, Section 32, first paragraph, HF).

The institution of higher education may issue regulations on the grading system to be used, and on public defences and grading in other respects (Chapter 6, Section 35 HF)

Local regulations

The grading scale to be used is to be specified in the general course syllabus and should also be specified in the course syllabus, in cases in which a course syllabus has been established. A two-grade grading scale is used at LiU for the assessment of courses taken during research education, licentiate theses, and doctoral theses. These grades are Pass (G) and Fail (U).

3.3 Supervision and other resources

Swedish regulations

The Higher Education Ordinance states that the number of PhD students admitted to research education may not exceed the number that can be offered supervision and otherwise acceptable conditions for study and whose studies are funded as specified in Section 36 (Chapter 7, Section 34 HF)

At least two supervisors are to be nominated for each PhD student, one of which is designated as principal supervisor. A PhD student has the right to supervision during the period of study unless the vice-chancellor has decided otherwise, with the support of Chapter 6, Section 30 of the Higher Education Ordinance. – A PhD student who so requests shall be allowed to change supervisor. (Chapter 6, Section 28 HF)

Local regulations

A principal supervisor and at least one deputy supervisor are to be nominated for a PhD student. The principal supervisor must satisfy the following requirements:

1.) be employed as a teacher at LiU in a position that has been assessed as remaining in place during the complete period of study of the PhD student



- 2.) be docent or possess corresponding expertise within the relevant field of study, and
- 3.) have taken education in the supervision of researchers or be able to demonstrate corresponding expertise.

An exemption from the requirement with respect to employment as a teacher of the principal supervisor may be made in the following cases:

- 1.) if the employment of the principal supervisor at LiU ends before the date on which the PhD student completes the degree. In such cases, the principal supervisor may continue to be principal supervisor until the PhD student completes the degree. It is recommended practice that the time remaining until the thesis defence should not be more than one year.
- 2.) if the PhD student participates in a Swedish graduate school at which several institutions of higher education collaborate by agreement. A principal supervisor who has principal employment at another institution of higher education may be nominated, if he or she is there employed as a teacher.
- 3.) within clinical research, an employee of the regional administration at a unit that has been designated for medical research and teaching at LiU may be nominated as principal supervisor.
- 4.) in cases in which special circumstances prevail. Special circumstances may be, for example, a lack of expertise at LiU as a consequence of a rapid change in circumstances.

Deputy supervisors must have been awarded a doctoral degree or have corresponding academic expertise. Deputy supervisors may possess employment as a teacher at another institution of higher education or may be employees of, for example, a regional administration or a company. Further requirements for expertise possessed by a supervisor will be specified, where relevant, in the supplementary guidelines of the faculties and the Educational Sciences area.

All PhD students, independently of the source of study funding, have the right to supervision and acceptable conditions of study as specified by the Higher Education Ordinance. This means, among other things, that a PhD student is to have access to the resources of the University Library, a workplace, a computer, a telephone and an email account linked to the university. The department is responsible that a PhD student is given access to supervision and other acceptable conditions of study, and to other resources that are required for the PhD student to be able to achieve the learning outcomes and complete the studies in other respects. The responsibility includes providing information to the PhD student about the education, and about research, seminar activities, and the study-social environment of which the PhD student will be a member during the period of study.



Supervisors must have been nominated with one month of the start of the study programme. When selecting principal supervisor and deputy supervisors, aspects of gender equality are to be considered.

3.4 Withdrawal of supervision and other resources

Swedish regulations, etc.

Withdrawal and suspension of the right to supervision and other resources

If a PhD student substantially neglects his or her undertakings in the individual study plan, the vice-chancellor shall decide that the PhD student is no longer entitled to supervision and other study resources. Before such a decision is made, the PhD student and the supervisors shall be given an opportunity to make representations. The case shall be considered on the basis of their reports and any other records available. The assessment shall take into account whether the higher education institution has fulfilled its own undertakings in the individual study plan. A written record of the decision shall be made, which is to include reasons for the decision. Resources may not be withdrawn for any period in which the PhD student is employed as a PhD student or is receiving a doctoral grant (Chapter 6, Section 30 HF)

If study resources have been withdrawn as specified in Section 30, the PhD student may, on application to the vice-chancellor, recover his or her entitlement to supervision and other resources. The PhD student must then demonstrate convincingly, by presenting prospective study results of considerable quality and scope or in some other way, that he or she can fulfil his or her remaining undertakings in the individual study plan (Chapter 6, Section 31 HF)

The vice-chancellor may not delegate decisions taken according to Sections 30 or 31. (Chapter 6, Section 36 HF)

Extension of duration of study and employment

The total period of employment as a PhD student may exceed what is normally valid if special grounds exist (cf. Chapter 5, Section 7, fourth paragraph, HF).

The period of study for a PhD student may be extended only if there are special grounds for doing so (cf. Chapter 6, Section 29, second paragraph, HF).

The rights of a PhD student to supervision and other resources remains until the vicechancellor takes a decision of withdrawal as specified in Chapter 6, Section 30, HF, even if the durations of both employment and study have expired.

Local regulations

Handling cases of the withdrawal of the right to supervision and other resources



A case concerning the withdrawal of the right to supervision and other resources is to be initiated by the board of the faculty or research area, or by the function at the level of faculty or research area to which the board has delegated the task. Initiation takes the form of registering the case with the vice-chancellor. The case is to be investigated at the level of the faculty or research area. When the investigation is complete, the faculty or research area is to present the case to the vice-chancellor, who will take a decision.

In order to determine whether a PhD student has substantially neglected his or her undertakings, detailed documentation in the individual study plan is required. The PhD student is also to be given an opportunity to make representations. A consistent statement of the events as specified below is required:

- a description of progression in the studies
- documentation that shows clearly that LiU has informed the PhD student of what he or she is expected to do, and deadlines for such expectations
- evidence that LiU has been made it clear to the PhD student the consequences for continued resources for the research education
- a description of the measures LiU has taken to correct the situation
- evidence that LiU has fulfilled its undertakings as specified in the individual study plan

A case in which a PhD student whose resources have been withdrawn and in which the PhD student has applied to have the right to supervision and other resources reinstated is to be investigated at the level of faculty or research area. When the investigation is complete, the faculty or research area is to present the case to the vice-chancellor, who will take a decision.

3.5 Examination of licentiate thesis

Swedish regulations

For a Degree of Licentiate a third-cycle student shall have been awarded a pass grade for a research thesis of at least 60 credits (Annex 2, HF).

Grades [for the exams that are included in the research education] are to be determined by a teacher (examiner) nominated by the university (Chapter 6, Section 32 HF)

Local regulations

Review before the submission of a licentiate thesis

A licentiate thesis is to have been reviewed before submission, at, for example, a seminar. The review also encompasses a plagiarism check. The result is to be



examined by the supervisor together with the PhD student as part of the work of completing the licentiate thesis.

Language and summary

A licentiate thesis may be written in Swedish, English, French or German. A licentiate thesis written in Swedish is to have a summary in English, and vice versa. If the thesis has been written in French or German, summaries in both Swedish and English must be given. Further languages in which a licentiate thesis may be written will be specified, where relevant, in the supplementary guidelines of the faculties and the Educational Sciences area.

Publication and examination of licentiate theses

The examination of a licentiate thesis takes place in correlation with a publicly announced licentiate seminar. The licentiate thesis must be made available at least three weeks before the seminar. These three weeks should be under term time.

An examiner is to be nominated for a licentiate seminar. The person who has been supervisor may not be nominated as examiner. A faculty examiner and other members of the examining committee may be nominated.

The examiner is to conduct an individual assessment and determine the grade to be awarded. The examiner may determine in advance the views of the principal supervisor and, where relevant, the faculty examiner and other members of the examining committee.

The examiner, and where relevant the faculty examiner and other members of the examining committee, must all possess doctoral degrees or corresponding scholarly expertise. Further requirements for the qualification of the examiner and, where relevant, members of the examination committee, are specified, where relevant, in the supplementary guidelines of the faculties and the Educational Sciences area.

Time and location for presentation of licentiate thesis

The submission of the licentiate thesis should be held during term time, during Swedish office hours and in premises at LiU. The examiner and respondent should, ordinarily, participate in person. If there is a reviewer or other members of the expert committee, they may participate remotely via video or equivalent, if this is judged suitable. In special circumstances, the examiner and respondent may also participate via video or equivalent. Special circumstances may be, for example, such circumstances that make national or international travel impossible.



3.6 Thesis defence and grading of doctoral theses

Swedish regulations

For the Degree of Doctor the third-cycle student shall have been awarded a pass grade for a research thesis (doctoral thesis) of at least 120 credits (Annex 2, HF).

The doctoral thesis must have been defended orally at a public thesis defence. A faculty examiner (opponent) shall be appointed for this presentation (Chapter 6, Section 33 HF)

At least one of those participating in the grading of a doctoral thesis shall be someone who does not have a post at the institution of higher education awarding the degree (Chapter 6, Section 34 HF)

Local regulations

Review before thesis defence

A doctoral thesis must have been reviewed before the thesis defence, at, for example, a seminar. The review also encompasses a plagiarism check. The result is to be examined by the supervisor together with the PhD student as part of the work of completing the thesis.

Language and summary

A doctoral thesis may be written in Swedish, English, French or German. A thesis written in Swedish is to have a summary in English, and vice versa. If the thesis has been written in French or German, summaries in both Swedish and English must be given.

Public announcement ("posting") and dissemination of thesis

The public announcement of a doctoral thesis (known as "nailing", or "spikning" in Swedish) is to take place at least three weeks before the thesis defence. It is to be preceded by confirmation from the dean that the thesis can be posted. These three weeks should be under term time.

Posting may also take place through the thesis being physically posted on the university noticeboard intended for this purpose. When the posting takes place, the thesis is also registered electronically in the university's publications database.

In addition to the posting, a doctoral thesis is to be disseminated as follows:

- The thesis is to be sent to the (Swedish) institutions of higher education that are active within the field of study of the thesis.
- The supervisors, faculty examiner and members of the examining committee are to be sent copies of the thesis.



Thesis defence, and the composition and working methods of the examining committee

A grade for a doctoral thesis is determined by an examining committee, specifically appointed for each thesis defence. When setting the grade, consideration is to be taken to both the contents of the thesis and the public defence.

The examining committee is to consist of three or five members who must be qualified as docent, or possess corresponding scholarly expertise. At least one member of the examining committee is to be active outside of LiU. A reserve member is to be nominated, and should be able to replace any of the ordinary members. Any person who has been supervisor for the PhD student may not be a member of the examining committee. The examining committee will appoint its chair from among the members. The examining committee is quorate when all members are present. If the members of the examining committee cannot reach a unanimous decision, the majority decision is to prevail. Reasons for a decision to award a fail grade must be given.

The faculty examiner must be qualified as docent or possess corresponding scholarly expertise, and may not be active at LiU.

After the thesis defence, the examining committee is to meet to determine the grade awarded for the doctoral thesis. The external examiner and supervisor have the right of presence at the meeting. The faculty examiner and supervisor may not, however, participate in determining the decision of the examining committee.

Considerations of conflict of interest must always be taken when selecting the faculty examiner for a thesis defence and the composition of the examining committee. When appointing the examining committee, aspects of gender equality are also to be considered.

Date and location of the public defence

The public defence should be held during term time, during Swedish office hours and in premises at LiU. The chairperson, reviewer, respondent as well as at least one of the grading committee's regular members should ordinarily take part in person. Other participants in the defence may participate remotely via video or equivalent, if this is judged suitable. In special circumstances, the chairperson, reviewer, respondent and members of the grading committee may participate via video or equivalent. Special circumstances may be, for example, such circumstances that make national or international travel impossible, or the unique expertise of a reviewer or grading committee member who wishes to participate remotely.



3.7 Protocols for licentiate seminar and for thesis defence

Swedish regulations

For each decision in a case, a document is to be drawn up that demonstrates:

- 1.) the date of the decision
- 2.) the contents of the decision
- 3.) who has taken the decision
- 4.) who has participated in preparing the case, and
- 5.) who has been present at the final handling without participating in the decision (Section 21, Government Agencies Ordinance [2007:515], and Section 31, Administrative Procedure Act).

Local regulations

A protocol for a licentiate seminar is to contain information about the examiner and, where relevant, the person or persons who participated as faculty examiner or member of the examining committee. The examiner is to sign the decision. In this case, it is not required to have a person prepare the case for decision.

A protocol for a thesis defence is to contain information about the members of the examining committee and the identity of the chair, and the person who participated as faculty examiner. All members of the examining committee are to sign the decision. In this case, it is not required to have a person prepare the case for decision.

3.8 Reassessment/change of decision

Swedish regulations

An appeal against a decision about a grade cannot be filed. This is a consequence of Chapter 12, Sections 2 and 4, HF. A PhD student does, however, have the possibility to request reassessment (change) of the decision. It is not permitted to set a time limit for the reception of such a request.

If an examiner finds that a decision on a grade is obviously incorrect owing to new circumstances or for some other reason, he or she shall change the decision, if this can be done quickly and easily and if it does not mean lowering the grade (Chapter 6, Section 24, HF [analogous application for research education] and Section 38, Administrative Procedure Act).

A government agency may change a decision that it has passed down as first decisionmaking body, if it considers that the decision is in error as a consequence of new circumstances or for some other reason. A decision whose character is beneficial for an individual may, however, be changed to the detriment of the individual only if [...] 3. the error is a consequence of the party having presented false or misleading information (Section 37, Administrative Procedure Act).



Local regulations

When a PhD student requests reassessment (change) of a grade for a course taken during research education, the request must always receive a decision (acceptance or rejection). A decision of reassessment (change) of a grade should normally be taken by the same examiner as made the initial grading decision. If this is not possible, someone else may make the decision. This person must, however, be designated as examiner for the doctoral course.

Reassessment (change) of a pass grade for a licentiate essay or doctoral thesis that is of detriment to the PhD student is to take place with great care and solely in fully unambiguous cases. Change to the detriment of a PhD student may become relevant if a PhD student has submitted incorrect or misleading information. Such a change in this case takes place with the support of Section 37 of the Administrative Procedure Act. Examples of misleading information are misconduct and deviations from good research practice.

A decision of reassessment (change) of a grade should normally be taken by the same examiner (for a licentiate essay) or examining committee (for a doctoral thesis) as made the initial grading decision. If this is not possible, another examiner or examining committee may be appointed to take the decision. This person or these persons must, however, be designated as examiner or examining committee.

If an examiner or examining committee is considering changing a decision to the detriment of a PhD student, consultation should take place with an employee at the Legal Office. Before a decision of reassessment (change) is made, the PhD student must be given the opportunity to make a statement.



4 More information

Discrimination Act (2008:567) Higher Education Act (1992:1434) The Higher Education Ordinance (1993:100) Administrative Procedure Act (2017:900) Act on Responsibility for Good Research Practice and the Examination of Research Misconduct (2019:504)

The LiU document (in Swedish) *Riktlinjer för hantering av avvikelser från god* forskningssed provides information about the management of cases of research misconduct and other deviations from good research practice at LiU.

In the first appendix to decision *Remuneration for student and doctoral representatives* (ref. no LiU-2021-04918), the student and doctoral positions which give the holder the right to remuneration are described. In the second appendix, the positions which give the holder the right to an extension of their employment and/or education are described.

The LiU document (in Swedish) *Hantering av nära relationer mellan medarbetare* provides information about how close relationships between co-workers and between PhD students and supervisors are to be managed at LiU.

Swedish Higher Education Authority (UKÄ), *Granskning av individuella studieplaner för doktorander*, report 2015:23.

Swedish Higher Education Authority (UKÄ), *Rättssäker examination*, guidelines, fourth edition, 2020.

The Swedish Research Council, Good Research Practice, report 2017.

All European Academies (ALLEA), The European Code of Conduct for Research Integrity, 2018.

